

New Mexico- Silver City Field Office

FY 2004 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Irrigated Cropland

Applicant _____ Farm No. _____ Tract No. _____ CMS Field No's. _____ Date _____
 Tribal Land _____ Non-Tribal Land _____ Preliminary Rating _____ Final Rating _____

1. Water Quantity - Potential Points (20% of Total) - 40

Irrigation Efficiency - Use FIRS to Evaluate. Benchmark & After points equal actual % efficiency times 0.6 multiplier. Total equals After minus Benchmark pts.			Potential Points	Benchmark Points	After Points
% Efficiency	% of Area in Contract before Treatment	% of Area in Contract After Treatment			
1. Water Quantity			Total		

2. Water Quality - Potential Points (20 % of Total) - 40

A. Surface Water Pollutants - (20) Points Maximum

There is a probability that runoff water from irrigated fields contains sediment, salt, pesticides, and/or nutrients (or other associated chemicals). Treatment is needed to prevent these pollutants from entering live waters, or re-entering a shared irrigation system. Points will be awarded based on distance from the end of field to the nearest live waters or re-entry point into a shared irrigation system. If there is no run-off, after points will be 0.

Distance of Surface Run-Off to Live Water	Points		After
<100 Ft.	20		
101 - 500 Ft.	15		
501 - 1,320 Ft.	12		
1,320 - 2,640 Ft.	10		
>2,640 Ft.	5		
A. Surface Water		Total	

B. Ground Water Pollutants - (20) Points Maximum

There is a probability that irrigation water containing salt, pesticides, and/or nutrients (or other associated chemicals) is leaching into the ground water. Treatment is needed to prevent these pollutants from contaminating ground water, through leaching and direct return flow into wells. Points to be awarded based on depth to the water table, or elimination of any direct discharge to ground water (regardless of depth to water table).

Depth to Water Table	Points		After
1 - 10 Ft or elimination of any direct discharge into ground water.	20		
10 - 50 Ft.	15		
50 -100 Ft.	10		
>100 Ft.	5		
B. Ground Water		Total	

New Mexico- Silver City Field Office

FY 2004 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Irrigated Cropland

Applicant _____ Farm No. _____ Tract No. _____ CMS Field No's. _____ Date _____
 Tribal Land _____ Non-Tribal Land _____ Preliminary Rating _____ Final Rating _____

3. Selected Conservation Practice(s) - Potential Points (50% of Total) - 100

Any practice used in the ranking criteria and intended to be included in the conservation plan of operations must be cost-shared or have an incentive payment. Higher priority (value) should be given to those practices which address multiple resource concerns, are cost effective, and have longer life spans. Use the Quality Criteria in the FOTG to establish the practices that have an impact on the identified resource concern. Some example practices are listed below:		Potential Points	Percent of need to be installed.	Points
Soil Erosion				
Irrigation Induced				
	Irrigation Land Leveling (464)	15		
	Land Smoothing (466)	5		
Water Quantity				
Water Management for Irrigated Land				
	Irrigation Water Conveyance (428 A,B, or C)	10		
	Irrigation Land Leveling (464)	15		
	Irrigation Water Conveyance (430 AA-KK)	10		
	Structure for Water Control (587 C,G,MF,R, or P)	5		
	Earthen Field Ditch (388)	5		
	Irrigation Regulating Reservoir(552)	10		
Water Quality				
Surf. Water contaminants, Suspended sediment, Turbidity, Low Dissolved Oxygen				
	Irrigation Water Conveyance (428 A,B, or C)	10		
	Irrigation Land Leveling (464)	15		
	Irrigation Water Conveyance (430 AA-KK)	10		
	Structure for Water Control (587 C,G,MF,R, or P)	5		
Plants				
Management				
Establishment, Growth, and Harvest				
	Pasture & Hayland Planting(512)	25		
Animals				
Food				
	Pasture & Hayland Planting(512)	25		
3. Selected Conservation Practices		Total		

4. Other Considerations - Potential Points (10% of Total) - 20

Below are some suggested, not required, criteria. If there are other criteria the D.C. wants to recommend based on LWG advice, please include them here.		Potential Points	Benchmark Points	After Points
A. At risk species are in the area and the contract will enhance habitat for the species.		5	0	
B. Treatment of this land could have a beneficial impact on a 303d listed stream segment.		5	0	
C. Treatment of this land could enhance the benefits of an active sec. 319 project.		5	0	
D. The land is within a NMED designated Category 1 watershed		5	0	
4. Other Considerations		Total		

Tot. Pts.(after minus Benmrk): Sec 1 _____ Sec 2 _____ Sec 3 _____ Sec 4 _____ Worksheet Total: _____

Designated Conservationist _____

Date _____